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# Question Statement

Prof. Moncef Krarti:

Part 1: CFD Analysis.

1. State all the assumptions in the CFD analysis;
2. Provide the procedure to estimate R-values based on the CFD analysis;
3. Show the airflow velocity and temperature fields;
4. Summarize the results of the equivalent R-values.

Part 2: Energy Simulation Analysis.

1. Show and summarize the hourly variation of thermal heating and cooling loads (two representative days) for the cases:
   1. Locations: Boulder, CO; Phoenix, AZ; and Chicago, IL;
   2. Insulation angles: 0o, 45o, and 90o.

# 1. CFD Analysis

This section is objective to calculate the equivalent R-values of a simple model for a sealed window with variable thermal properties made up of single layer of glass, rotating insulation layer within an air cavity, and another layer of glass. Figure 1 shows the simplified model.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  |  |
| (a) Closed Insulation Layers (High R-value) | (b) Opened Insulation Layers (Low R-value) |

Figure 1. Description of the simple model for a sealed window with variable thermal properties

The height of the window is 10 ft with 10 rotating insulation pieces of 1 ft length each. The R-value of the insulation piece is R-10 (IP unit). Further, the air cavity layer is 1 ft and 2 in wide. The range of rotation angle of insulation piece is from 0o to 90o.

## 1.1. Assumptions

Based on the description of the model, several reasonable assumptions of the model still need to be made:

1. To simplify the model, the thickness of the two layers of glass is 0 and the R-value is 0;
2. To simplify the boundary condition settings, the temperature of the outside layer of glass is equal to the outdoor temperature and the temperature of the inside layer of glass is equal to the indoor temperature. For both upper and lower boundaries, the heat flux is 0;
3. The thickness of the insulation layer is 2 in and the material of the insulation layer is a hypothetical material, which density is 2,320 kg/m3, specific heat is 1,138 J/kg-K, and thermal conductivity is 0.02884561 W/m-K (The R-value is R-10 when the thickness is 2 in);
4. The air between the glass layers and insulation layer is incompressible ideal gas;
5. The gravity is 9.81 kg/s2.

## 1.2. Procedure to Estimate R-values

To estimate the R-value of the window, Figure 2 shows the key parameters.



Figure 2. Key parameters of the model to estimate R-values

The and are the outdoor temperature and indoor temperature respectively. Based on the assumptions, these two parameters also represent the temperatures of the two layers of glass. is the area of the window. is the heat flux, which is calculated based on the CFD analysis. As we all know, the equation to calculate the heat flux can be expressed as:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (1) |

Assuming the direction of the heat flux from outdoor to indoor is positive, the equation to calculate R-value can be rewritten as:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | (2) |

Thus, we need to identify the value of heat flux based on the CFD analysis. Then, by using Equation (2), we can estimate the R-value of the window.

## 1.3. Temperature and Airflow Velocity

ANSYS 19.2 is used to simulate the temperature and airflow velocity in the window, and estimate the R-values of the window with different insulation angles. We consider two conditions – winter condition (Outdoor temperature is 272.04 (30 F) and indoor temperature is 294.26 K (70 F)) and summer condition (Outdoor temperature is 308.15 (95 F) and indoor temperature is 294.26 K (70 F)). Figure 3 shows the temperatures and airflow velocities of the window with the five typical insulation angles under the two conditions.

When the insulation angle is 0o, the air cavity is divided into outside cavity and inside cavity. The mean temperature of the outside cavity is lower in winter and higher in summer by compared with the value of the inside cavity. The airflow is moved in each cavity. The windows with the other four insulation angles have similar distributions of the temperature and airflow velocity. Generally, the temperature of upper air is higher than that of lower air in both winter and summer conditions. The airflow is moved through the whole air cavity. It is noticeable that the airflow velocity is the smallest in the summer condition when the insulation angle is 60o, which is closed to 0 in the whole air cavity. Further, since the gaps between each insulation panel and the two glass layers are all narrow when the insulation angle is 90o, the airflow also tends to be moved in each small air cavity created by two nearby insulation panels and two glass layers.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
| (a) Temperature  (winter, 0o) | (b) Velocity  (winter, 0o) | (c) Temperature  (summer, 0o) | (d) Velocity  (summer, 0o) |
|  |  |  |  |
| (e) Temperature  (winter, 30o) | (f) Velocity  (winter, 30o) | (g) Temperature  (summer, 30o) | (h) Velocity  (summer, 30o) |
|  |  |  |  |
| (i) Temperature  (winter, 45o) | (j) Velocity  (winter, 45o) | (k) Temperature  (summer, 45o) | (l) Velocity  (summer, 45o) |
|  |  |  |  |
| (m) Temperature  (winter, 60o) | (n) Velocity  (winter, 60o) | (o) Temperature  (summer, 60o) | (p) Velocity  (summer, 60o) |
|  |  |  |  |
| (q) Temperature  (winter, 90o) | (r) Velocity  (winter, 90o) | (s) Temperature  (summer, 90o) | (t) Velocity  (summer, 90o) |

Figure 3. Temperature and airflow velocity in winter and summer (Insulation angle: 0o, 30o, 45o, 60o, and 90o)

## 1.4. Estimated R-values

After analyzing the temperature and airflow velocity in each situation, we need to estimate the R-values of the window with different insulation angles based on the method mentioned in Section 1.2. Table 1 shows the results of the estimated R-values of the window with the 0o, 30o, 45o, 60o, and 90o insulation angles. Two R-values can be calculated based on the winter and summer conditions for each insulation angle. Since the difference between the two R-values is not significant, we use the average value as the estimated R-value.

Table 1. Estimated R-values (Insulation angle: 0o, 30o, 45o, 60o, and 90o)

* Indoor temperature: 294.26 K (70 F);
* Area of the window: 3.048 m2.

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Insulation Angle** | **Outdoor Temperature (K)** | **Heat Flux (W)** | **Estimated R-value (m2-K/W)** |
| 0o | 272.04 (30 F) | -18.07 | 3.85 |
| 308.15 (95 F) | 10.7 |
| 30o | 272.04 (30 F) | -94.43 | 0.76 |
| 308.15 (95 F) | 52.57 |
| 45o | 272.04 (30 F) | -93.29 | 0.78 |
| 308.15 (95 F) | 51.09 |
| 60o | 272.04 (30 F) | -95.14 | 0.76 |
| 308.15 (95 F) | 52.83 |
| 90o | 272.04 (30 F) | -94.99 | 0.75 |
| 308.15 (95 F) | 53.14 |

Based on the estimated R-values, we draw a plot and try to identify the regression line, which can be used in the range of 0o to 90o. Figure 4 shows the results of the estimated R-values by using the regression model.



Figure 4. Plot of the estimated R-values by using regression model

When the insulation angle is small, the insulation panels can be a layer, which blocks the air to flow in the whole air cavity. Thus, the heat conduction is the dominant method to transfer heat. However, when the insulation angle is large, the heat convection becomes the dominant method to transfer heat. The impact of the insulation panels is only to control the direction of the airflow. The R-value of the window is constant and is approximately 0.76 m2-K/W.

# 2. Energy Simulation Analysis

This report conducts energy simulation analysis by using small office building models from DOE Commercial Prototype building Models ([Ye et al. 2019](#_ENREF_3); [Thornton et al. 2011](#_ENREF_2); [DOE 2015](#_ENREF_1)).

## 2.1. Description of the Building Models

The baseline models consist of 3 small office buildings, which are located in Boulder, CO; Phoenix, AZ; and Chicago, IL. Figure 5 shows the geometry and thermal zones of the baseline small office building models. Each small office building model has one floor and is rectangle shape. There are five thermal zones in each model, including four perimeter zones and one core zone.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Screen Clipping | Perimeter zones  Core Zone |
| (a) Geometry | (b) Thermal Zones |

Figure 5. Geometry and thermal zones of the baseline small office building models

Table 2 summarizes the key parameters of the baseline small office building models. The total floor area of each model is 510 m2 and window-to-wall ratio is approximately 20%. The wood-frame walls and attic roof are used in the models. Further, the models use air-source heat pumps for both heating and cooling.

Table 2. Key parameters of the baseline small office building models

| **Parameter Name** | **Value** | | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Location  (Climate Zone: Typical City) | 5B: Boulder, CO | 2B: Phoenix, AZ | 5A: Chicago, IL |
| Total Floor Area | 510 m2 (27.7 m ×18.4 m) | | |
| Aspect Ratio | 1.5 | | |
| Number of Floors | 1 | | |
| Window-to-Wall Ratio | 24.4% for South and 19.8% for the Other Three Orientations | | |
| Floor-to-Floor Height | 3.048 m | | |
| Envelope | Exterior Walls: Wood-Frame Walls  Roof: Attic Roof with Wood Joist  Windows: Hypothetical Windows | | |
| Lighting Power Density | 10.76 W/m2 | | |
| Plug Load Density | 6.78 W/m2 | | |
| HVAC System | Heating: Air-source Heat Pump with Gas Furnace as Back Up  Cooling: Air-source Heat Pump  Terminal Units: Single Zone, Constant Air Volume Air Distribution | | |
| Service Water Heating | Tank-type, Electric Water Heater | | |

## 2.2. Results of Energy Simulation Analysis

By using EnergyPlus, we run the simulations for the baseline models and the new models. We use Object, “WindowMaterial:SimpleGlazingSystem”, to replace the window materials in the baseline models to generate the new models. Then we calculate the hourly thermal heating and cooling loads. January 20th and July 21st are selected as two representative days to analysis the impacts of the new windows to the building loads in the winter and summer. The results of building loads in the winter and summer for the three locations are shown in Figure 6~Figure 11.



Figure 6. Hourly variation of thermal heating and cooling loads (Boulder, CO; January 20th)



Figure 7. Hourly variation of thermal heating and cooling loads (Boulder, CO; July 21st)



Figure 8. Hourly variation of thermal heating and cooling loads (Chicago, IL; January 20th)



Figure 9. Hourly variation of thermal heating and cooling loads (Chicago, IL; July 21st)



Figure 10. Hourly variation of thermal heating and cooling loads (Phoenix, AZ; January 20th)



Figure 11. Hourly variation of thermal heating and cooling loads (Phoenix, AZ; July 21st)

The results show that the new window, especially the window with 0o insulation angle, can help to significantly reduce the building heating loads in winter in all the three locations. However, it does not have significant performance to reduce the cooling loads in summer. Further, some peaks of the cooling loads are possible to be higher by using the new window. For example, by using the window with 0o insulation angle, the peak cooling loads at 6:00 am in both Boulder, CO and Chicago, IL are higher than the values in the baseline models. The new window in Phoenix, AZ still has a good performance in summer. Thus, the window is suitable to be used in the location having the hot summer and cold winter, but it is not suitable to be used in the mild environment. Further, since it is difficult to open the new windows, we need to think about ventilation, especially during the spring and fall. Moreover, it is difficult to clean the air cavity, which could be another issue.

# 3. Conclusion

This report designs a method to calculate the equivalent R-values of a simple model for a sealed window with variable thermal properties made up of single layer of glass, rotating insulation layer within an air cavity, and another layer of glass. Then the equivalent R-values of the window with different insulation angles are calculated. After that, we evaluate the energy performance of the window by using prototypical small office building models in the three locations. The results show that:

1. The window is suitable to be used in the location having the hot summer and cold winter, but it is not suitable to be used in the mild environment;
2. If using the windows, we need to take more efforts on the ventilation;
3. We need to design a method to maintain the windows, such as cleaning the air cavity.
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